ISSN 2518-1629 (Online), ISSN 2224-5308 (Print)

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ

Өсімдіктердің биологиясы және биотехнологиясы институтының

хабарлары

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН Института биологии и биотехнологии растений

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN of the Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology

SERIES OF BIOLOGICAL AND MEDICAL

2 (332)

MARCH – APRIL 2019

PUBLISHED SINCE JANUARY 1963

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

ALMATY, NAS RK

Бас редактор

ҚР ҰҒА академигі, м. ғ. д., проф. Ж. А. Арзықұлов

Абжанов Архат, проф. (Бостон, АҚШ), Абелев С.К., проф. (Мәскеу, Ресей), Айтқожина Н.А., проф., академик (Қазақстан) Акшулаков С.К., проф., академик (Қазақстан) Алшынбаев М.К., проф., академик (Қазақстан) Бэтпенов Н.Д., проф., корр.-мүшесі(Қазақстан) Березин В.Э., проф., корр.-мушесі (Қазақстан) Берсімбаев Р.И., проф., академик (Казақстан) Беркінбаев С.Ф., проф., (Қазақстан) Бисенбаев А.К., проф., академик (Казақстан) Бишимбаева Н.Қ., проф., академик (Қазақстан) Ботабекова Т.К., проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан) Bosch Ernesto, prof. (Spain) Давлетов К.К., ассоц.проф., жауапты хатшы Жансугірова Л.Б., б.ғ.к., проф. (Қазақстан) Ellenbogen Adrian, prof. (Tel-Aviv, Israel), Жамбакин К.Ж., проф., академик (Казақстан), бас ред. орынбасары Заядан Б.К., проф., корр.-мушесі (Казақстан) Ishchenko Alexander, prof. (Villejuif, France) Исаева Р.Б., проф., (Казақстан) Кайдарова Д.Р., проф., академик (Казақстан) Кохметова А.М., проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан) Кузденбаева Р.С., проф., академик (Қазақстан) Локшин В.Н., проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан) Лось Д.А., prof. (Мәскеу, Ресей) Lunenfeld Bruno, prof. (Израиль) Макашев Е.К., проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан) Миталипов Ш.М., (Америка) Муминов Т.А., проф., академик (Казақстан) Огарь Н.П., проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан) Омаров Р.Т., б.ғ.к., проф., (Қазақстан) Продеус А.П., проф. (Ресей) Purton Saul, prof. (London, UK) Рахыпбеков Т.К., проф., корр.-мушесі (Казақстан) Сапарбаев Мұрат, проф. (Париж, Франция) Сарбасов Дос, проф. (Хьюстон, АҚШ) Турысбеков Е.К., б.ғ.к., асс.проф. (Қазақстан) Шарманов А.Т., проф. (АКШ)

«ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Биология және медициналық сериясы». ISSN 2518-1629 (Online), ISSN 2224-5308 (Print)

Меншіктенуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ (Алматы қ.) Қазақстан республикасының Мәдениет пен ақпарат министрлігінің Ақпарат және мұрағат комитетінде 01.06.2006 ж. берілген №5546-Ж мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет. Тиражы: 300 дана.

Редакцияның мекенжайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., 220, тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18, http://biological-medical.kz/index.php/en/

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2019

Типографияның мекенжайы: «Аруна» ЖК, Алматы қ., Муратбаева көш., 75.

Главный редактор

академик НАН РК, д.м.н., проф. Ж. А. Арзыкулов

Абжанов Архат, проф. (Бостон, США), Абелев С.К., проф. (Москва, Россия), Айтхожина Н.А., проф., академик (Казахстан) Акшулаков С.К., проф., академик (Казахстан) Алчинбаев М.К., проф., академик (Казахстан) Батпенов Н.Д., проф. член-корр.НАН РК (Казахстан) Березин В.Э., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Берсимбаев Р.И., проф., академик (Казахстан) Беркинбаев С.Ф., проф. (Казахстан) Бисенбаев А.К., проф., академик (Казахстан) Бишимбаева Н.К., проф., академик (Казахстан) Ботабекова Т.К., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Bosch Ernesto, prof. (Spain) Давлетов К.К., ассоц. проф., ответственный секретарь Джансугурова Л. Б., к.б.н., проф. (Казахстан) Ellenbogen Adrian, prof. (Tel-Aviv, Israel), Жамбакин К.Ж., проф., академик (Казахстан), зам. гл. ред. Заядан Б.К., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Ishchenko Alexander, prof. (Villejuif, France) Исаева Р.Б., проф. (Казахстан) Кайдарова Д.Р., проф., академик (Казахстан) Кохметова А.М., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Кузденбаева Р.С., проф., академик (Казахстан) Локшин В.Н., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Лось Д.А., ргоf. (Москва, Россия) Lunenfeld Bruno, prof. (Израиль) Макашев Е.К., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Миталипов Ш.М., (Америка) Муминов Т.А., проф., академик (Казахстан) Огарь Н.П., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Омаров Р.Т., к.б.н., проф. (Казахстан) Продеус А.П., проф. (Россия) Purton Saul, prof. (London, UK) Рахыпбеков Т.К., проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан) Сапарбаев Мурат, проф. (Париж, Франция) Сарбасов Дос, проф. (Хьюстон, США) Турысбеков Е. К., к.б.н., асс.проф. (Казахстан) Шарманов А.Т., проф. (США)

«Известия НАН РК. Серия биологическая и медицинская». ISSN 2518-1629 (Online), ISSN 2224-5308 (Print)

Собственник: РОО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы) Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан №5546-Ж, выданное 01.06.2006 г.

_____ 3 _____

Периодичность: 6 раз в год Тираж: 300 экземпляров

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219, 220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18, www:nauka-nanrk.kz / biological-medical.kz

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2019

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г. Алматы, ул. Муратбаева, 75

Zh.A. Arzykulov, academician of NAS RK, Dr. med., prof.

Abzhanov Arkhat, prof. (Boston, USA), Abelev S.K., prof. (Moscow, Russia), Aitkhozhina N.A., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Akshulakov S.K., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Alchinbayev M.K., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Batpenov N.D., prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan) Berezin V.Ye., prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan) Bersimbayev R.I., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Berkinbaev S.F., prof. (Kazakhstan) Bisenbayev A.K., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Bishimbayeva N.K., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Botabekova T.K., prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan) Bosch Ernesto, prof. (Spain) Davletov Kairat, PhD, associate professor, executive Secretary Dzhansugurova L.B., Cand. biol., prof. (Kazakhstan) Ellenbogen Adrian, prof. (Tel-Aviv, Israel), Zhambakin K.Zh., prof., academician (Kazakhstan), deputy editor-in-chief Ishchenko Alexander, prof. (Villejuif, France) Isayeva R.B., prof. (Kazakhstan) Kaydarova D.R., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Kokhmetova A., prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan) Kuzdenbayeva R.S., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) Lokshin V.N., prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan) Los D.A., prof. (Moscow, Russia) Lunenfeld Bruno, prof. (Israel) Makashev E.K., prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan) Mitalipov Sh.M. (America) Muminov T.A., prof., academician (Kazakhstan) **Ogar N.P.**, prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan) **Omarov R.T.,** cand. biol., prof. (Kazakhstan) Prodeus A.P., prof. (Russia) Purton Saul, prof. (London, UK) Rakhypbekov T.K., prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan) Saparbayev Murat, prof. (Paris, France) Sarbassov Dos, prof. (Houston, USA) Turysbekov E.K., cand. biol., assoc. prof. (Kazakhstan) Sharmanov A.T., prof. (USA)

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of biology and medicine. ISSN 2518-1629 (Online),

ISSN 2224-5308 (Print)

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty) The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of information and archives of the Ministry of culture and information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N 5546-Ж, issued 01.06.2006

Periodicity: 6 times a year Circulation: 300 copies

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, 220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18, http://nauka-nanrk.kz/biological-medical.kz

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2019

Address of printing house: ST "Aruna", 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty

_____ 4 _____

N E W S OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN SERIES OF BIOLOGICAL AND MEDICAL

ISSN 2224-5308

Volume 2, Number 332 (2019), 5 – 14

https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2519-1629.14

F. A. Iskakova¹, M. M. Adenov², L. T.Yeraliyeva², G. Tsogt³

¹NLC Asfendiarov Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan, ²National Scientific Center of phthisiopulmonology MOH RK, Kazakhstan, ³Ministry of Health, Mongolia.

E-mail: iskakova.f@kaznmu.kz, madenov@mail.ru; l.yeraliyeva@gmail.com; gombogoram@yahoo.com

CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA TO ASSESS THE TB SITUATION AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE TB PROGRAMME UNDER MODERN CONDITIONS

Abstract.

Background: Worldwide, TB is one of the top 10 causes of death and the leading cause from a single infectious agent. Millions of people continue to fall sick with TB each year. In 2017, TB caused an estimated 1.3 million deaths (range, 1.2–1.4 million) among HIV-negative people and there were an additional 300 000 deaths from TB (range, 266 000–335 000) among HIV-positive people. Thanks to the internationally recommended TB control program, including diagnostics, treatment and care patients, burden of TB is reduced in 30 countries of Asia, Africa and Europe with high incidence and mortality rate.

Methods: An estimation of burden of TB in Kazakhstan, Almaty region and Almaty city, three level of TB control program based on Incidence, Prevalence and Mortality rates. It carried out research of epidemiologic pattern analysis using Pearson correlation coefficient in regional and national level based on period of time, estimated by main determinants.

Results: The results showed relationship between determinants and dynamics of TB epidemiological rates as Mortality, Incidence and Prevalence in observational areas.

Conclusion: TB epidemiological pattern depends on impact of many factors of the bio-social environment, which have a negative or positive impact on epidemiology of TB. Determining the real situation is important for evaluating the effectiveness of the TB program, identifying priorities and planning for TB measures.

Key words: TB, Mortality rate, Incidence rate, Prevalence rate, Pearson coefficient, DOTS strategy, WHO.

TB is one of the most common and studied infectious diseases. It reached epidemic proportions in Europe and North America during the 18th and 19th centuries. Then it began to decline thanks to discovery of the *mycobacterium* TB, anti-TB drugs, BCG vaccine and treatment regimens that led to the perception of TB as an eliminated disease.

As a result of the growth of the number of patients and deaths from TB by the end of the 20th century WHO announced TB as a worldwide emergency and recommended the DOTS Strategy in 1993 as the most cost- effective way to stop the spread of TB in communities with a high incidence. DOTS aimed at the detection of a TB case by sputum smear microscopy, standardized treatment regimen and a standardized recording and reporting system. DOTS is expanded to "Stop TB" strategy and DOTS-Plus. These actions of WHO led to the reduction of TB cases and deaths. And also, disease burden caused by TB is falling globally. So in 2018 all member states of WHO and UN are committed to the endorsement of "End TB" strategy and adoption of the UN Sustainable DevelopmentGoals.

Specific targets are set until the end of 2030. "End TB" strategy aims at 90% reduction in the absolute number of TB deaths and an 80% reduction in TB incidence (compared with levels in 2015).

In Kazakhstan there were similar trends in the epidemiological situation of TB, accompanied by the introduction of TB programs, in accordance with the current concepts of the TB control system (7). The time period from 1998 to 2002 is characterized as the implementation of the DOTS strategy; since 2002 the program has been adapted to the conditions of the country, and since 2007 has been strengthened by

_____ 5 ____

elements of the program "Stop TB". As a response to DOTS, Stop TB and DOTS-Plus the incidence rate of TB decreased from118 to 48.2 per 100 000 people, mortality rate from 37.8 to 4.2 per 100 000 people (1998-2017) The result shows success of TB control programs in Kazakhstan. The introduction and activity of TB service in conditions of new programs are today an important issue of discussion in the medical society and the media (10, 11). The epidemiological pattern of TB is characterized by intense morbidity, prevalence and mortality, their level and changes are determined by the epidemic process. At the same time, the information capacity of epidemiological indicators are influenced by many factors that are not always taken into account in the analyses of the epidemiological situation of TB.

The purpose of the study was to conduct a scientific analysis of the epidemiological situation of TB and the effectiveness of TB control program in Kazakhstan in terms of evidence-based medicine using modern statistical methods.

The objectives of the study are: an analysis of the epidemiological situation of TB by assessing the level of the main indicators: incidence rate, mortality rate, prevalence rate and correlation analysis of incidence and mortality rates from 1973 to 2008 in certain periods of observation, determined by the presence of risk factors of the bio-social environment in the country.

Incidence rate is one of the most important and reliable criteria for the intensity of the epidemic process. At the same time, the indicator is influenced by objective factors (preferential detection by the X-ray method, insufficient detection by the microscopy method, the absence of quick methods of evidence-based diagnosis of TB) and a subjective character (struggle to reduce an indicator. Today, the term "incidence index", which reflects the program's efforts to detect cases and the effectiveness of mainly microscopy and x-ray diagnostic methods. The ratio of patients with new cases of pulmonary TB to a positive smear for patients with a negative smear of sputum determines the success of the program as a whole in the task of identifying priority patients who influence to the epidemic process of TB.

TB mortality rate is a reliable criterion reflecting the effectiveness of TB patients' treatment to a greater extent and the time of detection of disease cases. The indicator is the most informative in case of correct registration of death and determination of cause of death with postmortem confirmation. The prevalence rate reflects an effectiveness of TB patients' treatment, duration of follow-up, and depends on approaches of TB care service to solving terms and conditions of follow-up observation of TB center contingents. We used the ratio of morbidity to of an epidemic process: a smaller ratio means the worse TB situation. The ratio of prevalence to morbidity, which reflects a length of observation time for patients with active TB forms and an effectiveness of treatment. The smaller range of the ratio means the more effectiveness the TB control program(figure).

To assess the level of the main epidemiological indicators, the observational time is divided into five periods, taking into account an influence of socio-economic factors, public health measures to improve the population's heath (anti-alcohol company), and TB control strategies.

The first observation period (1973–1985), tentatively called the "Soviet period," is associated with the period of Kazakhstan's presence in the USSR, and is characterized by a stable economic system, an effective Health Care System and a TB program. Activity is regulated by Order "On measures to strengthen the fight against TB of the USSR Ministry of Health" No. 747 of September 7, 1972 and Order "On approval of a new classification of TB" No. 361 of April 23, 1974. During this period, in Kazakhstan therewasasteadydeclineintheincidencerate,by39.8%ingeneral(range118-71.1 per 100 000 people). The average ratio of Incidence to Mortality rates was 5.4 (5.2 to 5.6). There was a decrease in mortality by 2 times (the scale of .9 - 12.8 per 100 000 people) and PR. 1.9 times, the average value of the indicator was 449.7 per 100 000 people (range 321.7 - 618). A strong positive correlation was determined between morbidity and mortality in Kazakhstan (r = 0.98) and between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.99), which reflects the relationship between indicators; a decrease in incidence leads to a decrease in mortality, a decrease in prevalence leads to a decrease in incidence (tables 1, 2).

In the Almaty region, there was a moderate decrease in the incidence by 23.8%, a prevalence of 32.5% andmortalityby14.9%. The averageincidenceratewas76.4per100000people(range 87.8 - 66.9 per 100 000 people). The indicator of the ratio of morbidity for mortality was 6.7 (6.6-5.9). The mortality rate was also decreasing from 13.4 to 11.4 per 100 000 people, the average value was 13.1 per 100 000 people.



Mortality, Incidence and Prevalence rates of TB in Kazakhstan (A), Almaty region (B) and Almaty city (C), 1973-2018

= 7 ====

Period	Observation time	Pearson correlation coefficient		
		Kazakhstan	Almaty region	Almaty city
1973-1985	Soviet period	0.98	0.57	0.84
1986-1991	Anti-alcohol campaign	0.13	0.29	0.46
1992-1998	Socio-economic crisis	0.84	0.25	0.91
1999-2004	Implementation of DOTS	-0.93	-0.54	0.94
2005-2008	Stabilization	0.81	0.73	- 0.49

Table 1 – Correlation analysis of Incidence and Mortality rates of TB in Kazakhstan, Almaty region and Almaty city, 1973–2009

Table 2 – Correlation analysis of Prevalence and Incidence rates of TB in Kazakhstan, Almaty region and Almaty city, 1973–2009

Period	Observation time	Pearson correlation coefficient		
		Kazakhstan	Almaty region	Almaty city
1973-1985	Soviet period	0.99	0.79	0.98
1986-1991	Anti-alcohol campaign	0.94	0.91	0.77
1992-1998	Socio-economic crisis	0.97	0.97	0.97
1999-2004	Implementation of DOTS	0.34	-0.19	-0.73
2005-2008	Stabilization	0.81	0.65	- 0.84

do not correlate with the incidence rate, which decreased in this period, the rate of decline was 6.7% in the period 1974-1975, increased by 1.6% from 1975-1976. Since 1977, there has been a steady decline in morbidity and mortality. The positive correlation of the average intensity of morbidity and mortality during this period was determined (r = 0.57). During this period, there was a decrease in the prevalence of 1.5 times, the average value was 368.5 per 100 000 people (range 314.6-473.3 per 100 000 people). A strong correlation was found between the prevalence and incidence rates (r = 0.79).

In Almaty city - 2.4 times decrease in incidence rate (range 119.5-49.2 per 100 000 people), the average value was 72.6 per 100 000 people; a decrease in the mortality rate by 2.1 times (range 14.1 - 6.8 per 100 000 people), the average value was 10.3 per 100 000 people. The indicator of the ratio of morbidity to mortality is 4.8 (8.5-7.2). The average mortality rate is 10.0 per 100 000 people (range 6.8-14.1 per 100 000 people). During this period, the prevalence of TB was also halved, the average value was 330.6 per 100 000 people (239.7- 488.5 per 100 000 population), the annual rate of decline was 2.9%. The ratio of prevalence to morbidity averaged over a period of 4.6 (a range of 4.1–5 years). A strong positive correlation between the morbidity and mortality were determined. (r = 0, 84) and between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.98).

The second observation period (1986-1991) was marked by an anti-alcohol campaign. On May 7 and 16, 1985 legislative acts of the Central Committee of the CPSU and the Council of Ministers of the USSR on strengthening the fight against drunkenness and home brewing were published. Since 1960, in the USSR, there has been an increase in alcohol mortality associated with the highest use of legal and illegal alcoholic beverages in the world and low life expectancy compared with other countries of the world (10). During the ban on the use of alcoholic beverages in Kazakhstan, the most favorable situation is observed in terms of the ratio of the incidence rate for mortality, the average value of which was 6.6 ± 0.3 times (6.8-6.1). The incidence rate between the 1986 level by 1991 decreased by 9.4% (range 64.4 - 73.9 per 100 000 people) with an annual decrease of 1.9%; the prevalence rate is 9.0% (the range is 308.2-292.6 per 100 000 people) with an annual decrease of 1.8% and the mortality rate 17.2% (12.8-9.9 per 100 000 people)) with an annual decline of 3.4%. During this period of time in Kazakhstan, there was a significant reduction in mortality with a fairly high annual rate of decline against the background of consistently high rates of morbidity and prevalence.

In Almaty region there was a 1.8-fold decrease in mortality from TB (range 6.5–11.4 per 100 000 people) with an annual decrease of 6.1% against the background of relatively stable incidence rates (-7.2%, scale 62.1 - 66.9 per 100 000 people) with an annual decline of 1% and prevalence (+ 6.4%, the magnitude of the indicator 299.1 - 319.4 per 100 000 people) with an annual decrease of 0.9%. The ratio of morbidity to mortality was 8.5 (range 5.9-10.4), and the ratio of the prevalence of incidence 4.7 (range 4.7 - 4.9 years). A weak positive correlation between morbidity and mortality (r = 0.29) and prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.91) was determined.

In Almaty city there was a significant decrease in prevalence of 29.2%, the scale of the indicator was 169.6 - 239.7 per 100 000 people, and the annual decline of the indicator was 4.2%. The ratio of prevalence and incidence was 4.1 (range 3.7-4.9 years). During this period, an increase in the mortality rate was determined in the megalopolis (by 17.6%), the scale was 6.2 - 8 per 100 000 people with an annual growth rate of 2.5%; and a slight increase in the incidence rate of 7.1%, the scale of the indicator was 45.7 - 55.3 per 100 000 people). The ratio of morbidity and mortality was 7.5 (range 5.71 - 9.2). The Pearson correlation analysis determined a moderate relationship between morbidity and mortality (r = 0.46) and a strong degree of positive correlation between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.77). Differences in the rate of decline in TB rates, especially mortality, are associated with different degrees of alcohol consumption and the correctness of the calculation of epidemiological indicators.

The third observation period (1992-1998) is connected with socioeconomic crisis and the policy of reconstruction, which was supposed to improve the state of the economy and liberalize the political life of the country. Restructuring period led to the collapse of the USSR into independent republics. Independent countries continued to undergo socioeconomic changes, accompanied by a decrease in funding for the health care system, including the TB service. During this period, there is a 3.3-fold increase in mortality in Kazakhstan (the magnitude of the indicator is 11.7 - 38.4 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 38%; an increase in the incidence of 1.8 times (range 59.7 - 118.8 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 14.1%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality averaged 3.4 (2.4 - 5.5), which was the lowest in 36 years of observation.

The lowest ratio of persons who fell ill with deaths from TB was observed from 1995 to 1997 (2.5-2.4), when the number of deaths was the highest. At the same time, the incidence rate remains stable during 1992-1995. (64.4 -67.1 per 100 000 people), which is explained by a decrease in diagnostic measures to detect cases of TB. From 1996 to 1998 there is an increase in the incidence of 1.5 times (82.5-118.8 per 100 000 people), the annual growth rate was 38%. The prevalence rate increased by 34.4%, the scale of the indicator was 268 - 379.6 per 100 000 people, and the annual growth rate was 5.7%. The ratio of prevalence and incidence averaged 4 (range 3.2 - 4.5 years). The growth of epidemiological indicators to TB occurred against the background of destabilization of the TB service: poor funding, lack of anti-TB drugs, reduced detection of cases, and an uncontrolled increase in TB mortality. During this period, there was a strong positive correlation between morbidity and mortality (r = 0.84), between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.97). There is a 2.5-fold increase in mortality (a range of 9.2-38 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 24.8%; incidence of 61.6% (range 45.5 - 95.8 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 10.3% and prevalence of 38.1% (range 247.4 - 388.3 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate 6, 4% in Almaty region. The ratio of morbidity and mortality was 3.9 on average (1.5-6.4). The worst TB situation was noted in 1996, when the death rate was 38 per 100 000 people, and the ratio of the sick, of the dead was 1.5. The ratio of prevalence and incidence averaged 4.8 (range 4.1-5.4 years). During the socioeconomic crisis, there was a weak positive correlation between the incidence and mortality rates (r = 0.25) and a strong positive relationship between the prevalence and incidence rates (r = 0.97). There is a 2.5-fold increase in the death rate (11-27.5 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 25%; an increase in the incidence rate of 1.6 times (range 50 - 78.7 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 9.6% in Almaty. The ratio of morbidity and mortality averaged 3.4 (range 2.6-4.5). During this period, there was a 1.4-fold increase in the prevalence rate (range 164.3 - 228.6 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 5.9%. The ratio of prevalence and incidence averaged 3.1 (range 2.9-3.4 years). A strong positive relationship between morbidity and mortality (r = 0.91) and between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.97) was determined. The increase in deaths from TB is associated with an increase in the number of persons with newly diagnosed forms and individuals with active forms of TB. The sharp deterioration of the situation on TB in Kazakhstan caused the introduction of the DOTS strategy recommended by WHO to countries with a severe situation of TB in October 1998.

The fourth observation period (1999–2004) is related to the activity of TB service and implementation of the DOTS strategy. The period is characterized by a further increase in the incidence in Kazakhstan by 29.9% (range 141 - 165.1 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 5%. The increase in incidence is associated with a significant increase in the efficiency of detecting cases of TB by microscopy of sputum smears and other methods (mainly by X-ray), which is confirmed by the proportion of new cases with a positive smear in 1/3 and 2/3 with a negative smear of sputum. In 2002 - 2003 the highest incidence rates are observed (165.1 - 160.4 per 100 000 people). At the same time, the mortality rate decreased by 1.9 times (range 20.6 - 38.8 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 7.7%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality in this period was 5.9 on average(3.1-7.5). The prevalence rate increased by 18.4% (range 323 - 449.5 per 100 000 people) with an annual growth rate of 5%. The ratio of prevalence and incidence rates was 2.6 on average (range 2.1-3.2 years). There was a strong negative correlation between mortality and morbidity in Kazakhstan (r = -0.93) and a weak negative relationship between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.34). There is a decrease in mortality by 2.6 times (the range of 8.9 - 22.9 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 10.2%; a decrease in incidence of 13.7% (range 95.8 - 123.1 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 2.3% in the Almaty region. The ratio of morbidity and mortality averaged 8.4 (range 4.2-12.3). During this period, there was a decrease in the prevalence of 14.8% (range 242 - 388.3 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 2.5%. The ratio of prevalence and incidence averaged 2.7 (range 2 - 4.1 years). The negative correlation between the incidence and mortality rates (r = -0.54) and the weak negative correlation between the prevalence and incidence rates (r = -0.19) were determined. There was a 1.6-fold decrease in mortality (12-27.5 per100 000 people) with an annual decline rate of 6.3%; a decrease in the incidence rate of 11.2% (range 67.9 -78.7 per 100 000 people) with an annual decline rate of 1.9% and a decrease in prevalence rate of 12.8% (range 133.8 - 228.6 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 2.1%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality over the entire period was 4.3 (range 2.9-5.7), while the ratio of prevalence rates was 2.5 (range 1.9-2.9 years) in the Almaty. There was a strong positive correlationbet ween mortality and morbidity rates (r = 0.94) and a strong negative relationship between prevalence and morbidity (r = -0.73). A significant reduction in mortality is accompanied by a relatively moderate decrease in morbidity and prevalence.

The fifth observation period (2005–2009) is characterized by a further decrease in epidemiological indicators in Kazakhstan. There was a decrease in mortality by 1.6 times (span 12.9 - 20.8 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 7.5%; am 1.5-fold decrease in the incidence (105.3 - 154.3 per 100 000 people) with an annual decrease rate of 6.4%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality was 7.3 (range 6.5–8.2), which was the most favorable ratio in Kazakhstan. The present observation period is comparable in intensity of morbidity and mortality with the Soviet period (1973 - 1985). During this period, a decrease in prevalence of 2.5 times (a range of 180 - 449.5 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 12% was determined. The ratio of prevalence and morbidity averaged 2.5 (the range of 1.6-3.2 years), which is largely associated with organizational approaches to the definitions and duration of observation of dispensary groups. A strong positive correlation was determined between morbidity and mortality (r = 0.84) and between prevalence and morbidity (r = 0.81).

In the Almaty region, there was a decrease in the incidence rate of 16.5% (a range of 90.9 - 113.7 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 3.3% and a mortality rate of 1.8 times (range of 4.9-10, 1 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 9%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality averaged 13.5) range 11.5 - 18.6).During this period, there was a decrease in the prevalence of 2.9 times (range 113.3 - 350.2 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of decline of 13.1%. The ratio of the prevalence of incidence averaged 2.4 (range 1.2 - 3.3 years). A strong positive correlation was found between the incidence and mortality rates (r = 0.73) and the average strength; the positive correlation relationship between the prevalence and incidence rates (r = 0.65).Until 2006, in Almaty, there was adecrease of 6.7% (span 65.2 - 65.2 per 100 000 people) with an annual decline rate of 3.4%, since 2007 an increase in the incidence rate has been determined by 15% with an annual growth rate / decrease of 5%.During this period, there was a further decrease in the death rate, which decreased by 2.1 times (range 8-17.1 per 100 000 people) with an annual rate of 10.6%. The ratio of morbidity and mortality averaged

8.6 (4.1 - 24). A 2-fold decrease in prevalence (97.9 - 199.4 per 100 000 people) with an annual decline rate of 10.2% was determined. The ratio of prevalence and incidence averaged 2 years (range 0.5 - 2.9 years). The negative correlation between the incidence and mortality rates (r = -0.49) and the strong negative relationship between the prevalence and incidence rates (r = -0.84) were determined. The data of the correlation analysis demonstrate the disconnection between the intensive indicators, which, possibly, is connected with the change in the conditions of registration and observation in dispensary contingents; and what is important with the correctness of the data obtained.

Consequently, the analysis of TB epidemiological patterns of traditional assessment of epidemiological indicators for TB and correlation analysis of a relationship between morbidity and mortality and prevalence with morbidity at different periods of time revealed certain trends associated with complexes of determinants as socioeconomic factors, Health System measures and the effectiveness of TB programs.

The Soviet period of observation characterized by a decreasing of mortality, incidence and prevalence rates in the country and regions, and had the presence of a strong positive correlation between epidemiological indicators that is associated with an effective TB control program. The then existing program had broad objectives for prevention, diagnostics, treatment and survey over TB patients that was developed against the background of socioeconomicstability. During the anti-alcohol campaign registers a further decrease in intensive indicators and a weak correlation between morbidity and mortality rates, which shows the impact of other factors on the epidemiology of TB, namely the improvement in the overall health of people associated with a sharp decrease in alcohol consumption across the country.

The period of socioeconomic crisis and restructuring against the background of deteriorating socioeconomic conditions and the collapse of the health care system, including the TB service, marked the development of a TB epidemic in the country in terms of intensive morbidity, prevalence and mortality rates and the presence of a positive relationship between indicators at the national, regional and metropolis. The DOTS strategy implementation period was marked by a significant decrease in TB mortality (in Kazakhstan by 1.9 times, in Almaty oblast/region by 2.6 times and in Almaty city by 1.6 times), a slight decrease in prevalence (14.8%, 14.6% and 12.8%, respectively) against the background of an increase in the incidence in Kazakhstan and Almaty region (29.9%, 13.7%) and a decrease in Almaty (11.2%). This situation follows the objectives of the DOTS program the detection at least 70% of TB cases and cure 85% of these cases. At the same time, a decrease in TB mortality associated with effective treatment does not correlate with an increase in the number of new cases of TB, due to an increase in the recorded incidence. During this period, there was a negative correlation between the indicators in Kazakhstan (r = -0.93) and the average power in the Almaty region (r = -0.54). The strong positive correlation between morbidity and mortality in Almaty (r = 0.91) reflects the features of registering new cases and deaths. The same tendency is typical for the correlation analysis of the relationship between prevalence and incidence rates: weak positive in Kazakhstan, weak negative in Almaty region and strong negative relationship in Almaty (r = 0.34, r = -0.19, r = -0, 73).

The period from 2005 to 2009 is characterized by the most favorable situation for TB; the country for the first time approached the epidemic threshold in terms of incidence of 105.3 per 100 000 people. There was a decrease in all major indicators of TB, especially the prevalence and mortality rate of more than 2 times in the country, in the Almaty region and in Almaty city; and morbidity in Kazakhstan by 1.5 times, by 16.5% in Almaty oblast. In Almaty, the trend of increasing incidence (+ 7.9%) continues. In this period, a statistically significant relationship was established between morbidity and mortality rates, prevalence and morbidity in Kazakhstan and Almaty oblast and the lack of communication in Almaty, which demonstrates a somewhat special approach to registering cases of disease and death. The informativeness of data in Almaty requires monitoring of the tasks of identifying and determining lethal outcomes.

Thus, the TB epidemiological pattern depends on the impact of many factors of the bio-social environment, which have a negative or positive impact to the epidemiology of TB. Determining the real situation on TB is as important as evaluating the effectiveness of the TB program, identifying priorities and planning for TB measures. New approaches to analytical epidemiology to assess the situation of TB and the effectiveness of anti-epidemic measures are necessary to determine the reliability of intensive indicators and to improve prompt response to them.

Ф. А. Искакова¹, М. М. Аденов², Л. Т. Ералиева², Г. Токта³

¹LCC Ж. Асфендияров атындағы Қазақ ұлттық медициналық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан, ²Қазақстан Республикасы Денсаулық сақтау министрлігінің Фтизиопульмонология ұлттық ғылыми орталығы, Қазақстан, ³Моңғолия Денсаулық сақтау министрлігі, Моңғолия

ТУБЕРКУЛЕЗГЕ ҚАРСЫ ЭПИДЕМИОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ДЕРЕКТЕРДІҢ АУЫТҚУЫ

Абстракт.

Алғышарттар. Туберкулез (ТБ) әлемінде өлім-жітімнің 10 жетекші себептерінің бірі және біржұқпалы агент болған кезде негізгі себеп болып табылады. Жылсайын миллиондаған туберкулезбен науқастар анықталады. 2017 жылы індетін жұқтырғандар арасында 1,1 өлім (1,2-1,4 миллион диапазонда) және 300 мыңадам (266 000-335 000 диапазонында) өлімге әкелді. Пациенттерді диагностикалауда, емдеу және күтуді қамтитын Халықаралық туберкулезге қарсы бағдарламаның арқасында туберездің ауыртпалығы жоғары ауру мен өлімжітіммен Азия, Африка және Еуропа елдерінде 30-ға жуық жерде орналасқан.

Әдістері: Қазақстанда, Алматы облысында және Алматыда туберкулезбен ауыратындарды бағалау, елде, облыста және қала деңгейінде науқастану, таралу және өлім жағдайында туберкулезге қарсы бағдарлама. ТБ эпидемиологиялық жағдайын талдау негізгі детерминанттармен бағаланған уақыт кезеңіне қарай аймақтық және ұлттық деңгейлерде Pearson корреляция коэффициентін қолдану арқылы жүргізілді.

Нәтижелер: Нәтижелер детерминанттар мен туберкулездің эпидемиологиялық көрсеткіштерінің динамикасын, мысалы, өлім-жітім, ауру-сырқау және байқау салаларында таралуы сияқты қатынастарды көрсетті.

Қорытынды: Туберкулездің эпидемиологиялық жағдайына және эпидемиологиясына теріс немесе жағымсыз әсер ететін көптеген факторлар бар. Нақты жағдайды анықтау туберкулездің тиімділігін бағалау, туберкулезбен күрестің басымдықтарын және жоспарлау шараларын анықтау үшін маңызды.

Түйін сөздер: туберкулез, өлім-жітім, науқастанудеңгейі, таралуы, Pearsonкоэффициенті, DOTS стратегиясы, ДДҰ.

Ф. А. Искакова¹, М. М. Аденов², Л. Т. Ералиева², Г. Цогт³

¹LCC Казахский национальный медицинский университет им. С. Д. Асфендиярова, Алматы, Казахстан, ²Национальный научный центр фтизиопульмонологии МЗ РК, Казахстан, ³Министерство Здравоохранения Монголии, Монголия

КОРРЕЛЯЦИОННЫЙ АНАЛИЗ ЭПИДЕМИОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ДАННЫХ В ОЦЕНКЕ СИТУАЦИИ ТУБЕРКУЛЕЗА И ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ПРОГРАММЫ БОРЬБЫ С ТУБЕРКУЛЕЗОМ В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ УСЛОВИЯХ

Абстракт.

Предпосылки. В мире туберкулез (ТБ) является одной из 10 основных причин смерти и основной причиной при наличии одного инфекционного агента. Ежегодно выявляются миллионы больных ТБ. В 2017 году ТБ стал причиной 1,3 смертей (в диапазоне 1,2–1,4 миллиона) среди ВИЧ-отрицательных людей, и 300 000 смертей (в диапазоне 266 000–335 000) среди ВИЧ-позитивных людей. Благодаря Международной программе борьбы с ТБ, включающей диагностику, лечение и уход за больными, бремя ТБ локализовано в 30 странах Азии, Африки и Европы с высоким уровнем заболеваемости и смертности.

Методы: оценка бремени ТБ в Казахстане, Алматинской области и г. Алматы, программа контроля ТБ по показателям заболеваемости, распространенности и смертности на уровне страны, области и города. Был проведен анализ эпидемиологической ситуации по ТБ с использованием коэффициента корреляции Пирсона на региональном и национальном уровнях в зависимости от периода времени, оцененного по основным детерминантам.

Результаты: Результаты показали взаимосвязь между детерминантами и динамикой эпидемиологических показателей туберкулеза, таких как смертность, заболеваемость и распространенность в областях наблюдения.

Заключение. Эпидемиологическая ситуация по ТБ зависит от воздействия многих факторов биосоциальной среды, которые оказывают негативное или положительное влияние на эпидемиологию туберкулеза. Определение реальной ситуации важно для оценки эффективности противотуберкулезной программы, определения приоритетов и планирования мер по борьбе с туберкулезом.

Ключевые слова: туберкулез, уровень смертности, уровень заболеваемости, коэффициент распространенности, коэффициент Пирсона, стратегия DOTS, BO3.

Information about authors:

Iskakova Farida, MD, PhD, associate professor, LC National Medical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan; iskakova.f@kaznmu.kz; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2988-7816

Adenov Malik, MD, PhD, National Scientific Center of phthisiopulmonology MOH RK, Kazakhstan; madenov@mail.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0994-2569

Yeraliyeva Lyazzat, MD, DMedSci, professor Deputy director of clinical and scientific work "National Scientific center of phthisiopulmonology MON RK", Kazakhstan; l.yeraliyeva@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0892-166X

Tsogt G., Senior TB specialist, Ministry of Health, Mongolia; gombogoram@yahoo.com

REFERENCES

[1] Global Tuberculosis Report// www.who.int/tb/publications/global report/en/

[2] WHO Guidelines on tuberculosis infection prevention and control, 2019 update// www.who. int/tb/publications/2019/guidelines-tuberculosis-infection-prevention-2019/en/

[3] The Paradigm 2016-2020// Downloads/GlobalPlanToEndTB_TheParadigmShift_2016-2020_StopTBPartnership.pdf

[4] Global Tuberculosis Report 2017// Downloads/глобальный%20доклад%20ТБ-2017.pdf

[5] Epidemiology by L.Gordis, 2013.-381 p.// Downloads/epidemiology_gordis_5_edi%20(3).pdf

[6] Tuberculosis in Kazakhstan: analysis of risk determinants in national surveillance data. A.Terlikbayeva, Sabrina Hermosilla, Sandro Galea, Neil Schluger, Saltanat Yegeubayeva, Tleukhan Abildayev, Talgat Muminov, Farida Akiyanova, Laura Bartkowiak, Zhaksybay Zhumadilov, Almaz Sharman, Nabila El-Bassel // Downloads / Tuberculosis_in_Kazakhstan _Analysis_of_risk_determ.pdf

[7] Kanuri K., Kitayaporn D., Akarasewi P. Factors contributing to treatment success among tuberculosis patients: a prospective cohort study in Bangkok // The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. October 2008. Vol. 12, N 10. P. 1160-1165(6).

[8] Cauthen G.M., Rieder H.L., Geitter I.J. A model of relation between age-specific prevalence of tuberculosis infection and incidence of infectious tuberculosis: implications for screening policies // Tuberculosis Surveillance Research Unit Progress Report. 1991. 1: 1-20.

[9] Dolin P.J., Raviglone M.C. Kochi A. A review of the epidemiological data and estimation of future tuberculosis incidence and prevalence // The World Health Organization. Document 1993. WHO/TB93. 173: 1-34.

[10] Dolin P.J., Raviglone M.C. Kochi A. A review of the epidemiological data and estimation of future tuberculosis incidence and prevalence // The World Health Organization. Document 1993. WHO/TB93. 173: 1-34.

[11] Lomtadze N., Aspindzelashvili R., Janjgava M., Mirtskhulava V., Wright A., Blumberg H.M., Salakaia A. Prevalence and risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in the Republic of Georgia: a population-based study // The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. January 2009. Vol, 13, N 1. P. 68-73(6).

[12] Van der Werf M.J., Enarson D.A., Borgdorff M.W. How to identify tuberculosis cases in a prevalence survey [Educational series: prevalence surveys. Serialized guidelines. Assessing tuberculosis prevalence through population-based surveys. Number 3 in the series] // The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. November 2008. Vol. 12, N 11. P. 1255-1260(6).

[13] Van Leth F., Cobelens F.G. Onozaki I. Organization of a tuberculosis prevalence survey [Educational series: prevalence surveys. Serialized guidelines. Assessing tuberculosis prevalence through population-based surveys. Number 4 in the series] // The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. December 2008. Vol. 12, N 12. P. 1365-1369(5).

[14] Styblo et al. Epidemiological and clinical study of tuberculosis in the district of Kolin, Czechoslovakia // Bulletin of the WHO 37, 819-874 (1967). (MSWord, 11 mob).

[15] The plan of expanding of DOTS strategy against TB in European region // WHO. 2002-2006. Copenhagen.

[16] Tuberculosis (Cortical &Visceral pathology and therapy) edited by V. P. Rudin. Kiev, 1951. 555 p.

[17] Zhang L.X. et other. Effort of DOT on epidemiological situation in Beijing // Int. J. Tuberc. and Lung Diseases and Lung Diseases. 2000. Vol. 4, N 10. P. 904-910.

[18] Glumnaja T.V. Vlijanie demograficheskih, medicinskih i sezonnyh faktorov na smertnosť boľnyh tuberkulezom: Avtoref. dis. ... doktora medicinskih nauk. M., 2008. 17 p.

[19] Galimov S.A. Smertnost' ot tuberkuleza v uslovijah Vostochnoj Sibiri: Avtoref. dis. ... kandidata medicinskih nauk. Novosibirsk, 1986. 17 p.

[20] Germanjuk T.A. Hronicheskie neinfekcionnye zabolevanija v populjacii Kazahstana: prezhdevremennaja smertnosť, invalidnosť, posledstvija, metabolicheskie osnovy prodolzhiteľnosti: Avtoref. dis. ... doktora medicinskih nauk. Novosibirsk, 2001. 45 p.

____ 13 ____

[21] Frolova I.A. Mediko-social'nye faktory, vozdejstvujushhie na smertnosť ot tuberkuleza // Zh. Problem tuberkuleza i boleznej legkih. 2004. N 3. P. 11-13.

[22] Frolova I.A., Kolesnikov A.I. Prichiny smerti bol'nyh tuberkulezom // Kongress ftiziatrov Kazahstana.2002.

[23] Ftiziatrija. Nacional'noe rukovodstvo pod red. M. I. Perel'mana. M.: Gjeotar-media,2007. 506 p.

[24] Шахотько Л.П. Smertnost' kak odin iz vyzovov demograficheskoj bezopasnosti Respubliki Belarus' // Voprosy statistiki. 2006. N 8. P. 18-24.

[25] Shevchenko Ju.L. Bor'ba s tuberkulezom v Rossii na poroge XXI veka // Probl. tuberkul.2000. 3. P. 2-6.

[26] Shilova M.V., Glumnaja T.V. Prognoz pokazatelja smertnosti naselenija ot tuberkuleza (metodologija rascheta) // Zh. Problemy tuberkuleza i boleznej legkih.2006. N 3. P. 22-28.

[27] Jakovleva T.A. Analiz prichin smerti bol'nyh tuberkulezom // Problemy tuberkuleza. 1982. N 11. P. 16-19.

[28] Achievments in Public Health, 1990-1999: Control of Infectious Diseases // MMMWR. July 30, 1999. 48(29). P. 621-629.

[29] American Thoracic Society. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Infectious Diseases Society of America, Division of Tuberculosis Elimination Centers for Disease Control and Prevention // Treatment of Tuberculosis, 2003. 33 p.

[30] American Thoracic Society. Centers for Disease Control. Diagnostic standards and classification of tuberculosis and other mycobacterial diseases (14th edition) // Am. Rev. of Resp. Dis. 1999. 123. P. 343-358.

[31] Arnadottir T. The Styblo model 20 years later: what holds true? // Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis. 13(6). P. 672-690.

[32] Bloom B.R., Murray C.J.L. Tuberculosis commentary on reemerging killer // Science. 1992. 257. P. 1055-64.

[33] Usmanova N.R., Mirrachimov E.M., Favorov M.O. Mortality and years of life lost due to mortality for evaluation of damage from diseases in Kyrgyzstan // Abstract book of conference 10-13 May 2005, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

[34] Collins J.J. The contribution of medical measures to the decline of mortality from respiratory tuberculosis: an ageperiod-cohort model // Demography 1982. 19. P. 409-27.

[35] Enarson D.A., Grgybowski S., Dorken E. Failure of diagnosis as a factor in tuberculosis mortality // Can. Med. J. 1978. 118. P. 1520-2.

[36] Haenzel W.A. Standardized rate for mortality defined in units of lost years of life // Am. J. Publ. Health. 1950. 40. P. 17-26.

[37] Influencing of social factors on TB mortality and effectiveness of preventive arrangements in Moscow in XX century. 2004. N 9. P. 11-16.

[38] Achenbach J.P., Bouvier-Colle M.H., Jougla E. "Avoidable" mortality and health services: a review of aggregate data studies // J Epidemiology. Community Health. 1990. Vol. 44. P. 106-111.

[39] Palomino J.C., Leao S.C., Ritacco V. Tuberculosis 2007. From basic science to patient care // www.tuberculosis textbook.com.

[40] Tuberculosis: Handbook // WHO. 1998. 222 p.

[41] The global plan to stop TB 2006-2015: progress report 2006-2008, November 2009.

[42] Davletov K.K., McKee M., Myrkassimova A., Khozhamkul R., Iskakova B., Arzykulov Z.A. ADDRESSING THE GROWING BURDEN OF NCDS: RETURN TO ALMA-ATA AND PRIMARY HEALTHCARE APPROACH // News of the national academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. S.ries of biological and medical. ISSN 2224-5308. 2019. Vol. 1, N 331. P. 5-10. https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2519-1629.1.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics</u> and <u>http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics</u>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy</u>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected the Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

www:nauka-nanrk.kz

ISSN 2518-1629 (Online), ISSN 2224-5308 (Print)

http://biological-medical.kz/index.php/en/

Редактор М. С. Ахметова, Т. М. Апендиев, Д. С. Аленов Верстка на компьютере Д. Н. Калкабековой

Подписано в печать 12.04.2019. Формат 60х881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф. 5,25 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 2.

Национальная академия наук РК 050010, Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, т. 272-13-18, 272-13-19